

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/901,581	07/09/2001	Takahisa Doba	ICC-222 CIP	4798	
7590 12/22/2005 LOCTITE CORPORATION			EXAMINER		
			SELLERS, ROBERT E		
1001 Trout Brook Crossing Rocky Hill, CT 06067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712		

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before	the	Filing	of an	Ap	peal	Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/901,581	DOBA, TAKAHISA
Examiner	Art Unit
Robert Sellers	1712

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of A this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affic places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in coa Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be provided:	davit, or other evidence, which ompliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
time periods:  a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in	n the final rejection, whichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount or under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply origin set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	f the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37</li> </ol>	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, v (a)  They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOT (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially red appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejection. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Con</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, ti non-allowable claim(s).	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-7 and 10-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2, 8, 9 and 19.	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. □ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Not	tion of Ammont will not be out and
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the centered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. Se	I and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entered to the claims after extend to the claims after entered to the	* * * *
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No. 13. Other:	o(s)
	Robert Sellers
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1712

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Application/Control Number: 09/901,581

Art Unit: 1712

1. The amendment after Final rejection mailed December 14, 2005 has been denied entry because the word "lose" has been misspelled in the last line of claim 1.

- 2. The newly added limitation to independent claim 1 requiring the reaction product of the composition to soften and lose its adhesiveness at a temperature higher than the curing temperature (described on page 21, lines 30-32 of the specification) is conditional upon the formation of the cured reaction product and is not critical unless the composition is cured. The claims are directed to a composition and not the cured reaction product thereof. Based on the equivalent epoxy resin, curing agent monoglycidyl ester and cyanate ester recited in the combined prior art, the formulations of the references inherently exhibit degradability at temperatures in excess of the cure temperature.
- 3. A number of primary references have been applied to address the extreme breadth of the claimed composition embracing any epoxy resin, any curing agent and a cyanate ester with the monoglycidyl ester co-reactant being the only limited component. Although each of the primary references are applicable to the claims independently, there may be a reliance upon any of the other primary references to teach certain species or aspects denoted in dependent claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Sellers whose telephone number is (571) 272-1093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

rs

12/19/2005

ROBERT E.L. SELLERS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 2